UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,973	08/05/2003	Kurt E. Spears	10019217-1	6938
	7590 12/01/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
	AL PROPERTY ADM IS, CO 80527-2400	INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		,973	SPEARS ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	y Examir	ner	Art Unit	
	HOUSE	HANG SAFAIPOUR	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not communication. It is that the statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be to divid expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this α IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(section) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in conclused in accordance with the property of t	2b)∏ This action is ition for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters, p		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)	is/are withdrawn from owed. re rejected. to.	consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	/are: a) accepted or objection to the drawing(suding the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. So uired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a case a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the price 2. Certified copies of the price 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copie	of: ority documents have b ority documents have b pies of the priority docu national Bureau (PCT F	neen received. neen received in Applica ments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/634,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, related to claims 1, 4-17 and 39-41, filed on July 27, 2008 have been fully considered and they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 39 and 41, applicant argues that "Hidetoshi, however, never teaches or even suggests that both carriages independently move along their displacement paths" and further argues that he "...never teaches or even suggests that these carriages also move such that positions of their respective paths are not same at any given time. Takashi fails to cure these deficiencies." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Hidetoshi discloses that translation of carriage 5b starts when movement of carriage 5a ends (please refer to paragraphs [0041-0043].

Regarding claims 1 and 40, applicant argues that "…neither Hidetoshi nor Takashi teach or even suggest offsetting the modules to reduce bleed-through of light through an object." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Hidetoshi discloses means to prevent "back projection" which is the term used for "bleed through" (please refer to paragraphs [0054-0057]).

For the reasons stated, examiner maintains his rejection of claims 1, 4-17 and 39-41.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,973

Art Unit: 2625

Page 3

2. Claims 1, 4-17 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda Hidetoshi (JP 10-098588, cited by the applicant) and further in view of Koshiyouji Takashi (JP 07-254972, cited by the applicant).

Regarding claims 1 and 39, Hidetoshi discloses an image capture device, comprising:

a first scanning module (5a) operable to scan a first side of an object (manuscript 1); and
a second scanning module (5b) operable to scan a second side of said object, said first
and second scanning modules being independently translatable along their respective
displacement paths (drawing 1, paragraphs 0038-0041) and being offset from each other along
their respective displacement paths during a duplex mode to reduce bleed-through of light
through the object [0054-0057]; and

a housing (drawing 1, lower unit), wherein one of said first and second scanning modules (5a) is disposed in said housing (drawing 1). (Takashi shows a housing and lid coupled together).

Regarding claim 4, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, further comprising a lid coupled to a housing, one of said first and second scanning modules being disposed in said lid (drawing 1, upper unit is considered as a lid. It is not shown that the upper unit is coupled to the lower unit, however, such structure is well known as shown in drawings 1 and 2 of Takashi).

Regarding claim 5, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, further comprising two platens operable to sandwich said object there between (drawing 1, where manuscript 1 is placed).

Regarding claim 6, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 4, said scanning module disposed in said lid being mounted on at least one support rail (6b, drawing 1) in said lid.

Regarding claim 7, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 3, said second scanning module disposed in said housing being mounted on at least one support (6a, drawing 1) rail in said housing.

Regarding claim 8, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, wherein said first scanning module (5b) comprises:

a light source (12b, drawing 1) operable to illuminate at least a portion of said first side; and

a photosensitive device (17b, drawing 1) operable to capture light reflected from said first side.

Regarding claim 9, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, wherein said first scanning module comprises a photosensitive device (17b, drawing 1) operable to capture light passing through said object.

Regarding claim 10, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, wherein said second scanning module (5a) comprises:

a light source (12a) operable to illuminate at least a portion of said second side; and a photosensitive device (17a) operable to capture light reflected from said second side (drawing 1).

Regarding claim 11, Hidetoshi discloses the image capture device of claim 1, wherein said second scanning module comprises a photosensitive device (17a) operable to capture light passing through said object.

Regarding claims 12-15 please refer to paragraphs [0037-0041 of Hidetoshi].

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Hidetoshi does not explicitly disclose scanning a transparent document, however, Takashi discloses such scanning. As shown in drawing 1, one of the two scanning modules illuminates the object and the other module captures the light passing through the object. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hidetoshi's scanning apparatus to include transparency scanning.

Regarding claims 40 and 41, please refer to the arguments under claim 1 and 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under 35 U.S.C. 101" – publicly available at uspto.gov, "memorandum to examining corp").

Claims 18-21 neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. In order for process to be "tied" to another statutory category, the structure of another statutory category should be positively recited in a step or steps significant to the basic inventive concept, and NOT just in association with statements of intended use or purpose, insignificant pre or post solution activity, or implicitly.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29 and 31-38 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:00am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on (571)272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Houshang Safaipour/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625